BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE FILE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11-19-07 04:59 PM

)	
Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish the)	R.07-09-008
California Institute for Climate Solutions.)	(Filed September 20, 2007)

REPLY COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 333-E) ON ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING TO CONSIDER ESTABLISHING CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR CLIMATE SOLUTIONS

MICHAEL D. MONTOYA AMBER E. DEAN

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770 Telephone: (626) 302-6961 Facsimile: (626) 302-7740 E-mail:amber.dean@sce.com

November 19, 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)	
Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish the)	R.07-09-008
California Institute for Climate Solutions)	(Filed September 20, 2007)

REPLY COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 333-E) ON ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING TO CONSIDER ESTABLISHING CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR CLIMATE SOLUTIONS

I.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) September 20, 2007 Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish the California Institute for Climate Solutions (the Order), Southern California Edison Company (SCE) submits the following Reply to opening comments filed by parties on November 2, 2007. SCE continues to support the mission of the CICS as described in the Order. SCE believes that research – particularly in the area of technology development – is vital to solving the challenge of global warming over the long term. Technology development for renewables, energy efficiency, and clean coal is crucial to transforming California and the world to a lower carbon-emitting society. SCE believes that a coordinated research institute such as the CICS proposed in the Order will facilitate such developments.

Parties' opening comments reflect a number of similar themes. Like SCE, most parties support the Commission's efforts to develop a coordinated statewide effort to research solutions to the climate change predicament. Also like SCE, numerous parties echo concerns regarding

- 1 -

the mechanism proposed by the Commission for funding the CICS, the potential for duplicative research endeavors, and the need for direct ratepayer benefits and a governance structure that will ensure such benefits. As discussed more fully in this Reply, SCE supports these parties' comments, and asks the Commission to further explore how it can equitably fund the CICS and ensure that all who will benefit from the CICS will share in its cost. SCE also supports the numerous parties' comments which seek to ensure that the research conducted by the CICS complements, rather than duplicates, the ongoing work of other agencies and research institutions. SCE further agrees that the governance structure of the CICS should be designed to ensure ratepayer benefits and should include utility representatives who can provide input on the research and demonstration projects that are needed and will provide direct benefits to ratepayers.

In this Reply, SCE expresses its support for the comments mentioned above. SCE also responds to parties' comments that utility shareholders should fund some or all of the CICS. As provided below, investor-owned utility shareholders should not be required to fund the CICS, which, as proposed, is a mandatory public purpose program. SCE also addresses the intellectual property issues raised by the parties, and asks the Commission to explore these issues further once the CICS is more defined.

II.

REPLY COMMENTS

A. <u>Numerous Parties Agree that the CICS Should Be Funded by All Californians.</u>

Numerous parties echo the concern raised by SCE that the Order essentially proposes that the customers of the state's three largest Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs) shall alone bear the cost of research that would benefit the entire state, and arguably the entire world. These diverse parties note the exceptionally broad nature of the issues to be addressed by the CICS, and agree with SCE that the Commission should seek an equitable funding mechanism that will reach all Californians who will benefit from the Institute. For example, TURN states:

"Public financial support for the CICS proposal should be provided from taxes rather than energy utility rates. The proposal would deliver \$600 million over the next ten years to the University of California, where the funds would by design underwrite activities that extend far beyond utility service provided by investor-owned utilities, far beyond the energy utility sector itself, and even far beyond the California state border. If such a proposal warrants public funding, it should come from the more traditional source of funding of such efforts to provide broad public benefit – tax revenues, not utility rates."

Similarly, PG&E notes that legislation should be considered to facilitate participation by other emission-causing sectors and municipal utilities:

"Because the Institute's research is expected to benefit all California electric and gas utility customers, publicly owned utilities should also participate in funding of the Institute. Likewise, the scope of the Institute should only be expanded to include research that benefits other sectors, e.g. the transportation sector, if appropriate funding and participation from those sectors is involved. In order to provide for participation by the publicly owned utilities and transportation-related entities, a broader legislation authorization should be considered."²

The Independent Energy Producers Association (IEP) also notes the inequitable nature of focusing solely on IOU ratepayers when the benefits of the institute will flow to all Californians:

"First, the proposal places responsibility for the costs of the Institute only on the customers of the IOUs, although the benefits of the Institute will flow to all Californians and ultimately to the world (a point raised by Commissioner Bohn in his Concurring Opinion). It would obviously be more equitable to spread the costs of the Institute more broadly, to include the participation of at least the customers of the municipal gas and electric utilities in the funding of the Institute."

SDG&E echoes these concerns:

"[I]t must be recognized that the potential benefits are broad enough that the CICS should be funded by California citizens instead of IOU customers. For this same reason, funding sources

² PG&E Opening Comments, p. 7.

- 3 -

TURN Opening Comments, p. 3.

<u>3</u> IEP Opening Comments, pp. 1-2.

should be broadened to include other sectors, including customers of publicly owned utilities, the transportation sector, etc."⁴

DRA makes similar arguments:

"The potential benefits of the Institute are broadly-based and are subject to the expertise and jurisdiction of state agencies other than the Commission, including the Air Resources Board, Department of Health Services, and the Department of Fish and game, among others. Stakeholders other than the ratepayers of Commission-regulated utilities are equally suited and appropriate for funding the Institute. For example, any benefits to the electricity sector would also be advantageous to customers of municipal utilities; water ratepayers seem equally as likely to gain from the Institute as do electricity and natural gas ratepayers; other stakeholders — including the transportation sector — would also benefit. Funding for the Institute should be spread equitably among these constituents."

SCE appreciates the Commission's efforts to reach a greater number of Californians by including gas customers served by the IOUs within the funding base for the CICS. The Order's proposal will allow the majority of Californians served by municipal electric utilities to share in at least some portion of the cost of the CICS through the gas rates allocation. This does not entirely solve the equity issue, however, and SCE urges the Commission to carefully consider exploring alternative funding mechanisms for the CICS. As TURN, DRA, IEP, and all of the utilities note, these alternative sources should include not only IOU customers, but all emission-causing sectors (e.g. transportation, agriculture, etc.) and all members of the public who benefit from the research. SCE supports these parties' suggestion that the Commission consider pursuing the CICS through a tax or bond measure so that all emission-causing sectors can share in the costs of the CICS, and all residents in the State will equitably and fairly fund the Institute, regardless of whether they are municipal utility customers, Direct Access customers, or IOU customers. The Commission should then adjust the funding borne by energy ratepayers to

⁴ SDG&E Opening Comments, pp. 4-5.

DRA Opening Comments, p. 9.

In this instance, IOU customers will pay for the Institute through both gas and electric rates, while municipal utility customers will only pay for the Institute through gas rates.

appropriately reflect the costs of the Institute associated with its activities focused on energy-sector solutions.

B. <u>Numerous Parties Agree that Coordination is Needed to Ensure that Institute</u> Research Opportunities are Not Duplicative of Ongoing Programs and Projects.

SCE agrees with the nearly unanimous commenting parties that the CICS should not duplicate ongoing research being conducted by other governmental agencies, academic institutions, and ratepayer-funded programs. As noted by PG&E, close coordination with other existing programs and research-conducting agencies will be imperative to maximize cost effectiveness and Institute results. SCE supports PG&E's recommendation to include a formal process for coordinating research with other programs and agencies.

SCE further agrees with those parties who advocate for utility representation on the CICS Governing Board and steering committees. SCE believes that such representation will help reduce the potential for duplication and is also critical to ensuring that the research programs and projects undertaken by the CICS will provide direct benefits to the utility customers. As noted by PG&E, the utilities have extensive knowledge and experience with emerging technologies and current RD&D and can assist in the selection of projects that will ultimately lead to the greatest customer benefit.8

C. <u>Shareholders Should Not Be Required to Pay for the CICS.</u>

Some parties maintain that IOU shareholders should be required to pay for some or all of the cost of the CICS. SCE disagrees. As SCE noted in its Opening Comments, not only would such action by the Commission raise significant issues concerning the Commission's authority to order such funding activity, but it would also be inequitable to impose the costs of a mandatory

Both DRA and PG&E urge the Commission to ensure that ratepayer funds are not used for activities that are unrelated to the energy sector or the needs of the ratepayers. SCE agrees. See DRA Opening Comments, p. 12; PG&E Opening Comments pp. 3, 7.

PG&E Opening Comments, p. 12.

statewide public purpose program on the shareholders of one particular industry segment. The Commission would essentially be using its authority to regulate electricity rates and service to force the investors of one industry segment to fund a program that will benefit the public at large, including other company's customers and shareholders. As noted by PacifiCorp, however, the IOUs' obligation to serve customers (and the Commission's regulation of that activity) does not extend to mandatory shareholder financing of public university institutions and climate-related research projects. Currently, investors wishing to dedicate their resources to climate-related RD&D may seek out the most viable research firm and expect a return on their investment. A mandatory IOU shareholder funding obligation does not allow utility investors the choice to invest in the CICS or provide investors with a direct and measurable return on their investment. SCE believes that any shareholder funding of the Institute should be voluntary. The Commission may encourage donations or other support from IOU shareholders, but for the reasons provided herein and in various parties' comments, the Commission should not mandate that IOU shareholders pay all or some of the cost of the CICS.

D. Further Detail Is Needed to Define Intellectual Property Rights

A number of academic institutions commented that profitable technologies or patents resulting from the CICS should not be used to reimburse ratepayers for their investment in the Institute, and that the Bayh-Doyle Act may impact the ability of the Institute to leverage Federal funds. Like PG&E, SCE continues to maintain that, to the extent ratepayers fund the Institute, they should see a "return" on their investment and receive any direct benefits resulting from the Institute's research. SCE proposes that once the details of the Institute are defined, including how the Institute will be funded, the Commission should revisit the issue of how intellectual property resulting from the Institute should be treated.

-

- 6 -

PacifiCorp Opening Comments, p. 3

III.

CONCLUSION

SCE appreciates the opportunity to submit these Reply comments on the Order and looks forward to assisting the Commission with the development of the CICS.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL D. MONTOYA AMBER E. DEAN

/s/ AMBER E. DEAN

By: Amber E. Dean

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770 Telephone: (626) 302-6961

Facsimile: (626) 302-7740 E-mail:amber.dean@sce.com

November 19, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true copy of REPLY COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) ON ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING TO ESTABLISH THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR CLIMATE SOLUTIONS on all parties identified on the attached service list(s). Service was effected by one or more means indicated below:

Transmitting the copies via e-mail to all parties who have provided an e-mail address. First class mail will be used if electronic service cannot be effectuated.

Executed this 19th day of November, 2007, at Rosemead, California.

/s/ NAPA UTRAPIROMSUK

Napa Utrapiromsuk Case Analyst SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

> 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Monday, November 19, 2007

CASE ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN CALFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. PO BOX 800 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-09-008 MICHAEL ALCANTAR
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP
120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114
R 07-09-008

LYNN ALEXANDER LMA CONSULTING 129 REDWOOD AVENUE CORTE MADERA, CA 94925 R.07-09-008

CATHIE ALLEN
CA STATE MGR.
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 2000
PORTLAND, OR 97232
R.07-09-008

MEREDITH ALLEN PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC PO BOX 770000 MAILCODE B10C SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-09-008 ELIZABETH L. AMBOS ASSISTANT VICE CHANCELLOR CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY 401 GOLDEN SHORE LONG BEACH, CA 90802 R.07-09-008

ANN M. ARVIN, MD VICE PROVOST AND DEAN OF RESEARCH STANFORD UNIVERSITY BUILDING 10, MAIN QUADRANGLE STANFORD, CA 94305-0977 R.07-09-008 ELLEN R. AURITI
EXEC. DIR., RESEARCH POLICY AND
LEGISLAT
UNIV. OF CALIF. OFFICE OF THE
PRESIDENT
1111 FRANKLIN STREET
OAKLAND, CA 94607
R 07-09-008

KATE BEARDSLEY PG&E PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-09-008

MARCIA W. BECK LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY 1 CYCLOTRON ROAD BERKELEY, CA 94720 R.07-09-008 C. SUSIE BERLIN ATTORNEY AT LAW MCCARTHY & BERLIN, LLP 100 PARK CENTER PLAZA, SUITE 501 SAN JOSE, CA 95113 R.07-09-008

DR. MARK ALLEN BERNSTEIN UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA VKC 327 UNIVERSITY PARK CAMPUS LOS ANGELES, CA 90089-0044 R.07-09-008

BIANCA BOWMAN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
R 07-09-008

Carol A Brown
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 5103
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.07-09-008

ANDREW BROWN ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95811 R.07-09-008

MICHAEL E. CAMPBELL INTERIM GENERAL MANAGER IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT 333 EAST BARIONI BOULEVARD IMPERIAL, CA 92251 R.07-09-008

SHERYL CARTER
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER STREET, 20TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
R 07-09-008

AUDREY CHANG
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER STREET, 20TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
R 07-09-008

Monday, November 19, 2007

CLIFF CHEN UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS 2397 SHATTUCK AVENUE, SUITE 203 BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-09-008 WILLIAM H. CHEN DIRECTOR, ENERGY POLICY WEST REGION CONSTELLATION NEW ENERGY, INC. ONE MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.07-09-008 Sachu Constantine CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-09-008

BRIAN CRAGG ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, RITCHIE & DAY 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R 07-09-008

SEBASTIAN CSAPO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-09-008 HALL P. DAILY AVP OF GOVERNMENT AND COMMUNITY RELAT. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MAIL CODE 2-9 PASADENA, CA 91125 R.07-09-008

KYLE L. DAVIS PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST., SUITE 2000 PORTLAND, OR 97232 R.07-09-008 AMBER E. DEAN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-09-008 GEORGE DEHART CITY OF ANAHEIM 200 SOUTH ANAHEIM BOULEVARD ANAHEIM, CA 92805 R.07-09-008

DANIEL W. DOUGLASS ATTORNEY AT LAW DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 21700 OXNARD STREET, SUITE 1030 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367 R.07-09-008 Janet A. Econome CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5116 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-09-008 STEVE ENDO
PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER &
POWER
45 EAST GLENARM STREET
PASADENA, CA 91105
R.07-09-008

SUSAN L. FISCHER, PH.D. AIR RESOURCES BOARD, RESEARCH DIVISION 1001 I ST., PO BOX 2815 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812 R.07-09-008

LEAH FLETCHER
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER STREET 20TH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
R.07-09-008

RYAN L. FLYNN PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH, 18TH FLOOR PORTLAND, OR 97232 R.07-09-008

CYNTHIA A. FONNER SENIOR COUNSEL CONSTELLATION ENERGY GROUP INC 550 W. WASHINGTON ST, STE 300 CHICAGO, IL 60661 R.07-09-008 JEFFREY M. GARBER GENERAL COUNSEL IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT 333 EAST BARIONI BOULEVARD IMPERIAL, CA 92251 R.07-09-008 LAURA GENAO ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-09-008

Monday, November 19, 2007

DONALD GILLIGAN ATTORNEY AT LAW NATIONAL ASSOCIATON OF ENERGY SERVICE 610 MOUNTAIN STREET SHARON, MA 2067 R.07-09-008

ROBERT GNAIZDA THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVE., 2/F BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-09-008 HOWARD GOLLAY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-09-008

THALIA N.C. GONZALEZ ATTORNEY AT LAW THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-09-008

YVONNE GROSS REGULATORY POLICY MANAGER SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 R.07-09-008 SUSAN HACKWOOD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALIFORNIA COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND TECH 5005 LA MART DRIVE, STE 105 RIVERSIDE, CA 92507 R.07-09-008

DR. RANDOLPH W. HALL UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 300 BOVARD UNIVERSITY PARK CAMPUS LOS ANGELES, CA 90089-4019 R.07-09-008 PETER W. HANSCHEN ATTORNEY AT LAW MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP 101 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD, SUITE 450 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 R.07-09-008

ANDREW L. HARRIS PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-09-008

JEFFREY D. HARRIS ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

AUDRA HARTMANN DYNEGY, INC. 980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 1420 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008 LYNN M. HAUG ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-3512 R.07-09-008

CHRISTOPHER HILEN ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD RENO, NV 89511 R.07-09-008

GARY A. HINNERS RELIANT ENERGY 1000 MAIN STREET HOUSTON, TX 77002 R.07-09-008 LARRY HORTON SENIOR V.P. FOR PUBLIC AFFAIRS STANFORD UNIVERSITY BUILDING 170, FIRST FLOOR STANFORD, CA 94305-2040 R.07-09-008

TAM HUNT ENERGY PROGRAM DIRECTOR/ATTORNEY COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL 26 W. ANAPAMU SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101 R.07-09-008

BRUNO JEIDER BURBANK WATER & POWER 164 WEST MAGNOLIA BLVD. BURBANK, CA 91502 R.07-09-008 CHANGUS JONATHAN LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR OFFICE OF ASSEMBLY MEMBER BLAKES LEE STATE CAPITOL, ROOM 4117 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

Monday, November 19, 2007

EVELYN KAHL ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.07-09-008

SAMUEL S. KANG LEGAL COUNSEL 1918 UNIVERSITY AVE. 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 R 07-09-008 DOUGLAS K. KERNER ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

KIM KIENER 504 CATALINA BLVD. SAN DIEGO, CA 92106 R.07-09-008 DEAN A. KINPORTS SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC 555 W. 5TH STREET, GT14D6 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013 R.07-09-008 ERIC KLINKNER
PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND
POWER
150 LOS ROBLES AVENUE, SUITE 200
PASADENA, CA 91101-2437
R.07-09-008

LEILANI JOHNSON KOWAL LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER & POWER 111 NORTH HOPE STREET, SUITE 1536 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 R.07-09-008 ANNE W. KUYKENDALL FOLGER LEVIN & KAHN LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.07-09-008 CLARE LAUFENBERG CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET, MS 46 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

LLOYD C. LEE ATTORNEY AT LAW UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA GENERAL COUNSEL 1111 FRANKLIN STREET 8TH FLOOR OAKLAND, CA 94607 R.07-09-008

DON LIDDELL ATTORNEY AT LAW DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 2928 2ND AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 R07-09-008

RONALD LIEBERT ATTORNEY AT LAW CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION 2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95833 R.07-09-008

STEVEN G. LINS GENERAL COUNSEL GLENDALE WATER AND POWER 613 EAST BROADWAY, SUITE 220 GLENDALE, CA 91206-4394 R07-09-008

JODY S. LONDON JODY LONDON CONSULTING PO BOX 3629 OAKLAND, CA 94609 R.07-09-008 ED LUCHA
CASE COORDINATOR
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
R.07-09-008

JANE E. LUCKHARDT ATTORNEY AT LAW DOWNEY BRAND LLP 555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008 Jaclyn Marks CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5306 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-09-008

SUSAN H. MAC CORMAC MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.07-09-008

Monday, November 19, 2007

MARTIN A. MATTES ATTORNEY AT LAW NOSSAMAN GUTHNER KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 34TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4799 R 07-09-008

STEVE MCCOY-THOMPSON NEXANT INC 101 SECOND ST. 10TH FLR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.07-09-008 BRUCE MCLAUGHLIN BRAUN & BLAISING P.C. 915 L STREET, SUITE 1270 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

BRIAN MCQUOWN RELIANT ENERGY 7251 AMIGO ST., SUITE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89119 ELENA MELLO SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD RENO, NV 89520 R.07-09-008 Beth Moore CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4103 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-09-008

IRENE K. MOOSEN ATTORNEY AT LAW 53 SANTA YNEZ AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112 R.07-09-008

RICHARD J. MORILLO PO BOX 6459 BURBANK, CA 91510-6459 R.07-09-008 Scott Murtishaw CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-09-008

DESPINA NIEHAUS SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32H SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530 R.07-09-008 KAREN NOTSUND ASSISTANT DIRECTOR UC ENERGY INSTITUTE 2547 CHANNING WAY 5180 BERKELEY, CA 94720-5180 R.07-09-008 Marion Peleo CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4107 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-09-008

NORMAN A. PEDERSEN ATTORNEY HANNA AND MORTON, LLP 444 SOUTH FLOWER ST. SUITE 1500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2916 R07-09-008 ROBERT L. PETTINATO LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER & POWER 111 NORTH HOPE STREET, SUITE 1151 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 R.07-09-008

RASHA PRINCE SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC 555 WEST 5TH STREET, GT14D6 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013 R.07-09-008

EDWARD RANDOLPH ASM LEVINE'S OFFICE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE/UTILITIES AND COMMERC STATE CAPITOL ROOM 5135 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

JESSE W. RASKIN LEGAL ASSOCIATE THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-09-008 CATHY REHEIS-BOYD CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER WESTERN STATES PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION 1415 L STREET, SUITE 600 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

Monday, November 19, 2007

PROF. DAVID RUTLEDGE DIVISION CHAIR, ENGINEERING AND APP. SCI CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 102 THOMAS, 104-44 PASADENA, CA 91125 R 07-09-008

JANINE L. SCANCARELLI FOLGER LEVIN & KAHN LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.07-09-008 STEVEN SCIORTINO CITY OF ANAHEIM 200 SOUTH ANAHEIM BOULEVARD ANAHEIM, CA 92805 R.07-09-008

NORA SHERIFF ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.07-09-008 ALANA STEELE ATTORNEY AT LAW HANNA AND MORTON, LLP 444 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, SUITE 1500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2916 R.07-09-008 JAMES L. SWEENEY DIR. PRECOURT INST. FOR ENERGY EFFICIENC STANFORD UNIVERSITY 380 PANAMA MALL STANFORD, CA 94305 R.07-09-008

Christine S Tam
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 4209
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.07-09-008

FRANK TENG ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY ASSOCIATE SILICON VALLEY LEADERSHIP GROUP 224 AIRPORT PARKWAY, SUITE 620 SAN JOSE, CA 95110 R.07-09-008

KAREN TERRANOVA ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, STE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.07-09-008

VERONICA VILLALOBOS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 1800 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008 EDWARD VINE LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY BUILDING 90R4000 BERKELEY, CA 94720 R.07-09-008

DEVRA WANG NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL 111 SUTTER STREET, 20TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.07-09-008

CHRISTOPHER WARNER ESQ. PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY B30A, PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-09-008

JAMES WEIL DIRECTOR AGLET CONSUMER ALLIANCE PO BOX 37 COOL, CA 95614 R.07-09-008 VIRGIL WELCH CLIMATE CAMPAIGN COORDINATOR ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE 1107 9TH STREET, SUITE 540 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008

ELIZABETH WESTBY ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 1300 SW FIFTH AVE., SUITE 1750 PORTLAND, OR 97201 R.07-09-008 WILLIAM W. WESTERFIELD III ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P. 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-09-008 JOSEPH F. WIEDMAN ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.07-09-008

Monday, November 19, 2007

VALERIE WINN PROJECT MANAGER PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC 77 BEALE STREET, B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.07-09-008 ALEXIS K. WODTKE STAFF ATTORNEY CONSUMER FEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA 520 S. EL CAMINO REAL, STE. 340 SAN MATEO, CA 94402 R.07-09-008 REN ZHANG
PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER &
POWER
45 EAST GLENARM STREET
PASADENA, CA 91105
R.07-09-008

MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1814 FRANKLIN STREET, SUITE 720 OAKLAND, CA 94612 R.07-09-008